# Hybrid & Alternative Logics in Isabelle: Isabelle/Set

Joshua Chen University of Innsbruck

CICM '19, Prague

A softly-typed higher-order set-theoretic logic for Isabelle

Ongoing work with Alexander Krauss Cezary Kaliszyk Karol Pąk

## In this talk

### Set theory for formal proof

History & new ideas

## In this talk

### Set theory for formal proof

History & new ideas

### Soft types

Extended typing functionality for HOL

## In this talk

### Set theory for formal proof

History & new ideas

### Soft types Extended typing functionality for HOL

### Isabelle/Set

Overview, aims, & current work

# Set Theory for Formal Proof

Used from the beginning: Metamath, Mizar.

In Isabelle: ZF, HOLZF.

Large math libraries formalized.

Calls for a renaissance of set theory in formal proof.

Isabelle/Mizar [Kaliszyk, Pąk '18]: Mizar semantics in Isabelle. First  ${\sim}100$  MML articles verified.

auto2 [Zhan '17]: formalization of the fundamental group in untyped ZFC from scratch.

Which set theory?

Which set theory?

- ZFC standard (Isabelle/ZF, Metamath)
- But size issues!

Which set theory?

- ZFC standard (Isabelle/ZF, Metamath)
- But size issues!

 $\implies$  Tarski-Grothendieck (Mizar, Metamath)

Which set theory?

- ZFC standard (Isabelle/ZF, Metamath)
- But size issues!

Which logic?

 $\implies$  Tarski-Grothendieck (Mizar, Metamath)

Which set theory?

- ZFC standard (Isabelle/ZF, Metamath)
- But size issues!

### Which logic?

- FOL standard (Mizar, Metamath, Isabelle/ZF)
- But axiom schemas!

 $\implies$  Tarski-Grothendieck (Mizar, Metamath)

Which set theory?

- ZFC standard (Isabelle/ZF, Metamath)
- But size issues!

 $\implies {\sf Tarski-Grothendieck} ~{\scriptstyle ({\sf Mizar, Metamath})}$ 

### Which logic?

- FOL standard (Mizar, Metamath, Isabelle/ZF)
- But axiom schemas!

 $\Longrightarrow \mathsf{HOL}$ 

### Higher-order Tarski-Grothendieck (HOTG)

The axioms of Tarski-Grothendieck set theory on top of higher-order logic.

### Higher-order Tarski-Grothendieck (HOTG)

The axioms of Tarski-Grothendieck set theory on top of higher-order logic.

Isabelle/HOL snippet:

```
empty_axiom: "\neg(\exists x. x \in \{\})" and
elem_induct_axiom: "(\forall X. (\forall x. x \in X \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow P X) \rightarrow (\forall X. P X)" and
Union_axiom: "\forall X x. x \in \bigcup X \leftrightarrow (\exists Y. Y \in X \land x \in Y)" and
Replacement_axiom: "\forall X y. y \in \text{Repl } X F \leftrightarrow (\exists x. x \in X \land y = F x)" and
```

### Higher-order Tarski-Grothendieck (HOTG)

The axioms of Tarski-Grothendieck set theory on top of higher-order logic.

Isabelle/HOL snippet:

```
empty_axiom: "\neg(\exists x. x \in \{\})" and
elem_induct_axiom: "(\forall X. (\forall x. x \in X \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow P X) \rightarrow (\forall X. P X)" and
Union_axiom: "\forall X x. x \in \bigcup X \leftrightarrow (\exists Y. Y \in X \land x \in Y)" and
Replacement_axiom: "\forall X y. y \in \text{Repl } X F \leftrightarrow (\exists x. x \in X \land y = F x)" and
```

Has a model under reasonable assumptions [Brown, Pąk, Kaliszyk '19].

### Higher-order Tarski-Grothendieck (HOTG)

The axioms of Tarski-Grothendieck set theory on top of higher-order logic.

Isabelle/HOL snippet:

```
empty_axiom: "\neg(\exists x. x \in \{\})" and
elem_induct_axiom: "(\forall X. (\forall x. x \in X \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow P X) \rightarrow (\forall X. P X)" and
Union_axiom: "\forall X x. x \in \bigcup X \leftrightarrow (\exists Y. Y \in X \land x \in Y)" and
Replacement axiom: "\forall X y. y \in \text{Repl } X F \leftrightarrow (\exists x. x \in X \land y = F x)" and
```

Has a model under reasonable assumptions [Brown, Pąk, Kaliszyk '19].

Also the foundation of Chad Brown's Egal theorem prover.

# **Soft Types**

## **Types & predicates**

In type theory, types:

- encode properties,
- restrict scope,
- disambiguate terms.

## **Types & predicates**

In type theory, types:

- encode properties,
- restrict scope,
- disambiguate terms.

In untyped formalisms, predicates do this.

### **Predicates & types**

### Example: Predicates

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall G \ x \ y \ z. \\ (\text{is\_monoid } G) \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \ (y \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \ (z \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \\ (x \ * \ y \ = \ x \ * \ z) \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \in \ \text{units } G) \ \rightarrow \ y \ = \ z \end{array}
```

### **Predicates & types**

#### **Example:** Predicates

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall G \ \times \ y \ z. \\ (\text{is\_monoid } G) \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \ (y \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \ (z \ \in \ \text{carrier } G) \ \rightarrow \\ (x \ \ast \ y \ = \ x \ \ast \ z) \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \in \ \text{units } G) \ \rightarrow \ y \ = \ z \end{array}
```

### Abstracting such predicates as "soft types" improves structure and automation.

Example: Soft types

```
\forall G: \text{ monoid. } \forall x \ y \ z: \text{ element } G. \ (x \ * \ y \ = \ x \ * \ z) \rightarrow (x \ \in \text{ units } G) \rightarrow y \ = \ z
```

### Implementation

A generic layer on top of Isabelle/HOL.

### Implementation

A generic layer on top of Isabelle/HOL.

Type constructions:

Base types	Туре Р
Dependent functions	$(x: A) \Rightarrow B x$
Type intersections	A ¦ B
Type adjectives	adj · type

### Implementation

A generic layer on top of Isabelle/HOL.

Type constructions:

Base types	Туре Р
Dependent functions	$(x: A) \Rightarrow B x$
Type intersections	A ¦ B
Type adjectives	adj · type

Working on type elaboration, type derivation, and integrating type reasoning.

## The system

Based on classical higher-order logic and HOTG.

Strong and structured soft type system and automation.

# The system

Based on classical higher-order logic and HOTG.

Strong and structured soft type system and automation.

## Aims

Provide a simpler, modern base to import the MML. Maintain Isabelle/ZF compatibility.

Formalize more stuff!

# The system

Based on classical higher-order logic and HOTG.

Strong and structured soft type system and automation.

## Aims

Provide a simpler, modern base to import the MML. Maintain Isabelle/ZF compatibility. Formalize more stuff!

## **Current work**

Type derivation

Structures

Set extensions

### Fin. Thanks for listening!

### **References I**

- Chad E. Brown, Cezary Kaliszyk, and Karol Pąk. *Higher-order Tarski Grothendieck as a Foundation for Formal Proof*. Accepted for ITP 2019.
  - Cezary Kaliszyk and Karol Pąk. "Semantics of Mizar as an Isabelle Object Logic". In: Journal of Automated Reasoning (Aug. 2018). ISSN: 1573-0670. DOI: 10.1007/s10817-018-9479-z. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10817-018-9479-z.
  - Alexander Krauss. *Adding Soft Types to Isabelle*. https://www21.in.tum.de/~krauss/papers/soft-typesnotes.pdf. Unpublished note. 2010.
- Leslie Lamport and Lawrence C. Paulson. "Should Your Specification Language Be Typed?" In: ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst. 21.3 (May 1999), pp. 502–526. ISSN: 0164-0925. DOI: 10.1145/319301.319317. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/319301.319317.

## **References II**



Bohua Zhan. "Formalization of the fundamental group in untyped set theory using auto2". In: *CoRR* abs/1707.04757 (2017). arXiv: 1707.04757. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04757.